

http://www.natsca.org

NSCG Newsletter

Title: Barton Bed Fossils - do they fade?

Author(s): Andrew, K.

Source: Andrew, K. (1999). Barton Bed Fossils - do they fade?. NSCG Newsletter, Issue 11, The Ten

Agents of Deterioration, 7. Light and UV, 5 - 6.

URL: http://www.natsca.org/article/1141

NatSCA supports open access publication as part of its mission is to promote and support natural science collections. NatSCA uses the Creative Commons Attribution License (CCAL) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/ for all works we publish. Under CCAL authors retain ownership of the copyright for their article, but authors allow anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute, and/or copy articles in NatSCA publications, so long as the original authors and source are cited.

Barton Bed Fossils - do they fade?

The Bracklesham and Barton Beds are two clayey formations of the British Middle and Upper Eocene that outcrop along the south coast of England between Bognor Regis and Poole. The formation tends to contain well-preserved fossil molluscs, in particular a wide range of gastropods, some up to 10cm long. The fine grained mud of these deposits is rich in pyrite and so Barton beds fossils tend to feature heavily in collection conservation programmes.

The mud trapped inside the whorls of the shell can be rich in finely disseminated pyrite, salt from the sea-shore localities adds to localised high humidity and pyrite decay sets it. The result a combination of some or all of the following - acrid smells, crusty yellow and green efflorescence, crumbled mud mixed with white efflorescence, upper whorls blow off turret shells. Any visible broken shell structure tends to have rather a ragged appearance presumably due to acid attack from the decay byproducts.

Whilst conserving material from these formations for pyrite decay, I have noticed that some shells also seem to be susceptible to light damage. In particular are those specimens on display at the Horniman Museum in the north hall on the balcony. This display has been in place for in excess of twenty-five years and for the early period, the arched roof was glazed (much like a railway station terminus roof). Consequently, these specimens had been exposed to daylight for at least five years.

In 1990, the specimens were assessed as showing damage from pyrite decay and were removed for pyrite decay treatment, repair and consolidation. As part of the treatment, the shells were cleaned and in some areas, patches of mud picked and swabbed off. The main mud-free parts of the shell were rather chalky in texture and dull very pale grey or fawn colour, but where the mud had been present, the more solid shells seemed to be a pale pink colour and considerably less chalky. This suggests that these types of fossil shells may be susceptible to light damage causing fading. Specimens were displayed in wooden table cases painted with emulsion paint, so Byne's disease type damage from carboxylic acids may also have contributed, but similar pink patches underneath mud has been noted subsequently in other collections.

I have not collected this type of material in the field and most of the material I have conserved has been from older collections where specimens would have been on display for long periods. I have therefore not seen fresh specimens.

Has anyone else come across this problem?

Kate Andrew Shropshire County Curator of Natural History Ludlow Museum



Natural History Specimens on display - lighting considerations.

Because ultraviolet light cannot be detected by the human eye, its potential for damage needs to be assessed, and monitored prior and during the display of specimens to minimise this risk. This damage can in its simplest terms be described as 'fading' (though darkening or yellowing are other adverse effects from light). Whilst a herbarium sheet's scientific data may not suffer any notable fading, further to the amount lost during the pressing and drying process, its intrinsic value and state prior to display may be altered. As carers of these collections we have a responsibility to maintain and stabilise their condition. It could be argued that as with specimens assigned for 'handling' on the gallery, so particular specimens for display should be thought of as expendable. This may not always be desirable if the display itself deals with actual 'specimens' rather than 'species'.

UV light levels can be effectively reduced by the use of protective film or varnish applied to windows or the lamps themselves. In D. Lampard's article in Issue 8 (Ten Agents No. 4) he discusses the application of film directly onto the display case glass itself. Though the primary function of the film in this case was as a 'safety barrier' and little information was gathered on its physical or chemical make-up, it was found to contain filters capable of removing 95% of UV light.